



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,377 10/22/2001		10/22/2001	Kenneth J. Galipeau	14113.57.1.1	9767
21912	7590	11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
		AMES LLP	LE, DIEU MINH T		
10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200 CUPERTINO, CA 95014				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2114	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/004,377	GALIPEAU ET AL.	GALIPEAU ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>		
		Dieu-Minh Le	2114			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence addr	ess		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this come  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Sec</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	• •	nerits is		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 31-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-30 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 31-42 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/21/05</u> .	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-1 -·	52)		

Art Unit: 2114

#### DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed September 21, 2005 in application 10/004,377.
- 2. Claims 1-30 have been cancelled; claims 31-42 are again presented for examination.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable Funk (US Patent 5,793,497) in view of Kandasamy et al. (US Patent 5,513,314 hereafter referred to as Kandasamy).

#### As per claim 31:

Funk substantially teaches the invention. Funk teaches:

- a method for data protection [abstract, col. 5, lines 29-
- 33 and col. 6, lines 1-11]

## comprising:

- intercepting change information representing a change made by a process running on a computer system to a file on the computer system [col. 1, lines 62 through col. 2, line 3, col. 2, lines 52-55, col. 3, lines 59-67, and col. 8, lines 50-53];

Art Unit: 2114

- storing the change information on a log file [col. 3, lines 59-67; col. 4, lines 39-52; col. 6, lines 12-22; and col. 9, lines 13-22];

Page 3

- transmitting the contents of the log file through a

network (i.e., updating the information in the information database and generating a file corresponding to the customer based on information in the customer record and the dynamically updated information) [[fig. 1, col. 2, lines 14-28 and col. 2, lines 36-39].

Funk does not explicitly teach:

- a remote log file associated with a remote system configured to use at least in part the change information to enable a data associated with the file on the computer system to be consistent with at least a portion of the file on the computer system.

However, Funk does disclose capability of:

- a method and apparatus for delivering and modifying information electronically [abstract, col. 2, lines 14-27] comprising:
- a connectivity among memory, processor, end-user terminals (i.e., *remote* as well as local) via LAN and

Internet (WAN) environment [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 35
through col. 4, lines 25];

- information exchanging and dynamically updating between source and destination (i.e., end user terminals) via a network in a real-time [col. 5 lines 43-51];
- information modification and editing [col. 6, line 64 through col. 7, line20];
- file generating, updating information to and from database, and transmitting data via a network [col. 2, lines 14-41];
  - periodically updating the information in the information database and generating a file corresponding to the customer based on information in the customer record and the dynamically updated information [col. 2, lines 36-39];
  - predetermined customer for modifying a customer record
    responding to the predetermined customer [col. 2, lines 32-35];
  - sending confirmation back to sender confirming change to database [fig. 6, lines 19-20].

In addition, Kandasamy explicitly teaches:

- A network computer system having a fault tolerant Network Filesystem (NFS) server and mirroring protocol [abstract, fig. 2 col. 1, lines 8-11]

#### comprising:

- inquiring requests including read data, attributes, look up, read directory, read link, status, etc... and updating requests including write data, set attributes, rename, remove directory, remove file, link, etc...used for performing essentially concurrently in response to any client workstation that issues NFS requests with respect to the mirrored filesystems [col.5, lines 51 through col. 6, lines 3].
- a partial resynchronization approach used for recovery of data file modification including file creation and deletion made to the mirror filesystem along with accumulated incremental changes to the data files via remote procedure calls [col. 5, lines 51-col. 6, lines 3 and col. 16, lines 60-67].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that by applying the <u>a partial resynchronization</u>

approach used for recovery of data file modification including

. Art Unit: 2114

file creation and deletion made to the mirror filesystem along with accumulated incremental changes (i.e., in part the change information ... as claimed by Applicant) to the data files via remote procedure calls capability as taught by Kandasamy in conjunction with the Funk's method and apparatus for delivering and modifying information electronically, the data can be thoroughly protected via data file/information updating and exchanging between communication nodes (i.e., sources/destinations) or end user terminal in real-time process. In addition, by performing this data changes or data configuration, the data/information can easily be transmitted and updated in real-time including file modification, verification, confirmation (i.e., substantially concurrently changes of data files) within the data security or protection environment, such as stock and bank data/information updating and exchanging security environment.

This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide the computer data file/information transmission via a networking environment with a mechanism to enhance the data security, data performance, data availability, and data integrity in ordering to providing an optimal data/information protection and exchanging system. It is further obvious because

by utilizing this approach, data files within the protected system can be realized in latest data/information transmitted among end user communication, real-time data process, and data security protection and execution.

### As per claims 32-34:

Funk further teaches:

- updating, translating, and storing data/information within the computer memory system [fig. 1 and 2, col. 3, lines 59-67].
- generating a file, updating a file, converting a file, transmitting a file via a network [col. 2, lines 20-28];
- intercepting change information representing a change made by a process running on a computer system to a file on the computer system [col. 1, lines 62 through col. 2, line 3, col. 2, lines 52-55, col. 3, lines 59-67, and col. 8, lines 50-53];

Funk does not explicitly teach:

- files to be mirrored.

However, Funk does disclose capability of:

- generating a file, updating a file, converting a file, transmitting a file via a network [col. 2, lines 20-28];

- information modification and editing [col. 6, line 64
  through col. 7, line20];
  - periodically updating the information in the information database and generating a file corresponding to the customer based on information in the customer record and the dynamically updated information [col. 2, lines 36-39].

In addition, Kandasamy explicitly teaches:

- A network computer system having a fault tolerant Network Filesystem (NFS) server and mirroring protocol [abstract, fig. 2 col. 1, lines 8-11]

## comprising:

- inquiring requests including read data, attributes, look up, read directory, read link, status, etc... and updating requests including write data, set attributes, rename, remove directory, remove file, link, etc...used for performing essentially concurrently in response to any client workstation that issues NFS requests with respect to the mirrored filesystems (i.e., files to be mirrored ... as claimed by Applicant) [col.5, lines 51 through col. 6, lines 3].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the data mirroring in supporting the computer system failover detection and recovery capability as taught by Kandasamy in conjunction with the Funk's method and apparatus for delivering and modifying information electronically in supporting data protection system.

This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to enhance the computer data file/information transmission via a networking environment. By performing the mirrored files (i.e., copying or duplicating) within the computer system, the system can function and operate without any interruption due to any system failures. This is because the computer system is fully backed up (i.e., data fully mirrored) and readily to perform with high fidelity data performance, data availability, and data integrity among end user communications including end user remotely.

#### As per claims 35-36:

Funk further teaches:

- a change is a file and write operation (i.e., information modification and editing) [fig. 5, col. 5, lines 52-66 and col. 6, lines 64 through col. 7, lines 20].

#### As per claim 37:

Funk further teaches:

- the file is accessed by an application program [col. 3, lines 35-42 and col7, lines 21-26].

#### As per claims 38-39:

Funk further teaches:

- the change information is transmitted to a second computer and to a remote computer (i.e., end users terminal data transmitted via a LAN and Internet (WAN) environment as well as information exchanging and dynamically updating between source and destination (i.e., end user terminals) via a network in a real-time ) [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 59 through col. 4, lines 23].
- user dial-up access for requesting information (i.e., information transmitted to a remote computer) [col. 1, lines 42-53].

In addition, Kandasamy explicitly teaches:

Art Unit: 2114

- A network computer system having a fault tolerant Network Filesystem (NFS) server and mirroring protocol [abstract, fig. 2 col. 1, lines 8-11]

## comprising:

- inquiring requests including read data, attributes, look
  up, read directory, read link, status, etc... and updating
  requests including write data, set attributes, rename,
  remove directory, remove file, link, etc...used for
  performing essentially concurrently in response to any
  client workstation that issues NFS requests with respect to
  the mirrored filesystems [col.5, lines 51 through col. 6,
  lines 3].
- a partial resynchronization approach used for recovery of data file modification including file creation and deletion made to the mirror filesystem along with accumulated incremental changes to the data files via remote procedure calls [col. 5, lines 51-col. 6, lines 3 and col. 16, lines 60-67];

### As per claim 40:

Claim 40 similar to claim 31. The only minor different is that claim 40 additionally introduced a processor configured to intercept change information.

However, Funk does disclose capability of:

- a system for data protection [abstract, col. 5, lines 29-33 and col. 6, lines 1-11] comprising:

- a database coupled with the processor in supporting the data configuration, data exchanging, data updating, etc...
  [fig. 2, col. 4, lines 25-31].
- a connectivity among memory, processor, end-user terminals (i.e., remote as well as local) via LAN and Internet (WAN) environment [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 35 through col. 4, lines 25];

Therefore, this claim is also rejected under the same rationale applied against claim 31. In addition, all of the limitations have been noted in the rejection as per claim 31.

## As per claims 41-42:

These claims are similar to claims 32-34. In addition, Funk also disclosed a new entries in the log file (i.e., new portfolio values for updating) [col. 6, lines 12-24]. Therefore, these claims are also rejected under the same rationale applied against claim 32-34. In addition, all of the limitations have been noted in the rejection as per claim 32-34.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,377 Page 13

Art Unit: 2114

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-42 filed on 09/21/2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dieu-Minh Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-3660. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571)272-3644.

The Tech Center 2100 phone number is (571) 272-2100. The Central FAX number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DIEU-MINH THAI LE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2114

DML 11/10/05